Programming Abstractions

Week 4-2: Y Combinator

Stephen Checkoway

How do we write a recursive function?

Easy, use `define`

```
(define len
  (λ (lst)
    (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
          [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))])))
```

For the rest of this lecture, we're not going to use `(define (fun args) …)`

How do we write a recursive function?

(without using define)

Easy, use `letrec`

```
(letrec ([len
          (λ (lst)
            (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
                  [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))]))])
  len)
```

Recall, this binds `len` to our function `(λ (lst) …)` in the body of the `letrec`

This expression returns the procedure bound to `len` which computes the length of its argument

Why does this not work to create a length procedure? (Note `let` rather than `letrec`.)

```
(let ([len
       (λ (lst)
         (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))]))])
  len)
```

A. It would work but `letrec` more clearly conveys the programmer's intent to write a recursive procedure

B. `len` is not defined inside the λ

C. `len` is not defined in the last line

D. `len` isn't being called in the last line, it's being returned and this is an error

E. None of the above

How do we write a recursive function?

(just using anonymous functions created via λs)

Less easy, but let's give it a go!

```
(λ (lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
        [else (add1 (??? (rest lst)))]))
```

We need to put something in the recursive case in place of the `???` but what?

If we replace the `???` with

`(λ (lst) (error "List too long!"))`

we'll get a function that correctly computes the length of empty lists, but fails with nonempty lists

Put the function itself there?

```
(λ (lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
        [else (add1 ((λ (lst)
                       (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
                             [else (add1 (??? (rest lst)))]))
                     (rest lst)))]))
```

Not a terrible attempt, we still have ???, but now we can compute lengths of the empty list and a single element list.

Maybe we can abstract out the function

```
(λ (len)
  (λ (lst)
    (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
          [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))])))
```

This isn't a function that operates on lists!

It's a function that takes a function `len` as a parameter and returns a closure that takes a list `lst` as a parameter and computes a sort of length function using the passed in `len` function

make-length

```
(define make-length
  (λ (len)
    (λ (lst)
      (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
            [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))]))))
```

This is the same function as before but bound to the identifier `make-length`

- The orange text (together with purple text) is the body of `make-length`
- The purple text is the body of the closure returned by `(make-length len)`

```
(define L0 (make-length (λ (lst) (error "too long"))))
```

- `L0` correctly computes the length of the empty list but fails on longer lists

make-length

```
(define make-length
  (λ (len)
    (λ (lst)
      (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
            [else (add1 (len (rest lst)))]))))

(define L0 (make-length (λ (lst) (error "too long"))))
(define L1 (make-length L0))
(define L2 (make-length L1))
(define L3 (make-length L2))
```

- `Ln` correctly computes the length of lists of size at most n
- We need an L_∞ in order to work for all lists
- `(make-length length)` would work correctly, but that's cheating!

Enter the Y combinator

Y is a "fixed-point combinator"

- Y = (S (K (S I I)) (S (S (K S) K) (K (S I I))))

If `f` is a function of one argument, then `(Y f) = (f (Y f))`

```
(Y make-length)
=> (make-length (Y make-length))
=> (λ (lst)
     (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
           [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
```

This is precisely the length function: `(define length (Y make-length))`

How is this length?

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (length '())))) ; lst is bound to '()
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (length '())))) ; lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][…]))))
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (length '())))) ; lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][…]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (length '())))) ; lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][…]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
=> 3
```

How is this length?

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(length '(1 2 3)) ; so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))) ; lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))) ; lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond […][else (add1 …)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (length '())))) ; lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][…]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
=> 3
```

But wait, how can that work?

Two problems:

- We defined Y in terms of Y! It's recursive and the whole point was to write recursive anonymous functions
  - Not quite, Y = (S (K (S I I)) (S (S (K S) K) (K (S I I)))), but we still need to write this in Racket
- `(Y f) = (f (Y f))` but then
  `(f (Y f)) = (f (f (Y f)) = (f (f (f (Y f)))) = …`
  and this will never end

Defining Y

```
(define Y
  (λ (f)
    ((λ (g) (f (g g)))
     (λ (g) (f (g g))))))
```

It's tricky to see what's going on but `Y` is a function of `f` and its body is applying the anonymous function `(λ (g) (f (g g)))` to the argument `(λ (g) (f (g g)))` and returning the result.

```
(Y foo) = ((λ (g) (foo (g g)))          ; By applying Y to foo
           (λ (g) (foo (g g))))
        = (foo ((λ (g) (foo (g g)))     ; By applying orange fun
                (λ (g) (foo (g g)))))   ;   to purple argument
        = (foo (Y foo))                 ; From definition of Y
```

Never ending computation

This form of the Y-combinator doesn't work in Scheme because the computation would never end

We can fix this by using the related Z-combinator

```
(define Z
  (λ (f)
    ((λ (g) (f (λ (v) ((g g) v))))
     (λ (g) (f (λ (v) ((g g) v)))))))
```

This is the argument to our recursive function

With this definition, we can create a length function

```
(define length (Z make-length))
```

We can use Z to make recursive functions

Given a recursive function of one variable

```
(define foo
  (λ (x) … (foo …) …))
```

we can construct this only using anonymous functions by way of Z

```
(Z (λ (foo) (λ (x) … (foo …) …)))
```

```
Factorial
(Z (λ (fact)
     (λ (n)
       (if (zero? n)
           1
           (* n (fact (sub1 n)))))))
```

What about multi-argument functions?

We can use apply!

```
(define Z*
  (λ (f)
    ((λ (g) (f (λ args (apply (g g) args))))
     (λ (g) (f (λ args (apply (g g) args)))))))
```

This is the list of arguments to our recursive function

Example: map

```
((Z* (λ (map)
       (λ (proc lst)
         (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
               [else (cons (proc (first lst))
                           (map proc (rest lst)))]))))
 add1
 '(1 2 3 4 5))
```

We're applying `Z*` to the orange function which returns a recursive map procedure

Then we're applying that procedure to the arguments `add1` and `'(1 2 3 4 5)`

Imagine a version of Scheme without define or letrec, how can we write a recursive function `foo` and call it on a list? In other words, how do we write

```
(letrec ([foo (λ (lst) (… (foo …) …))])
  (foo '(1 2 3)))
```

A.
```
(let ([foo (Z (λ (lst)
                (… (foo …) …))])
  (foo '(1 2 3)))
```

B.
```
(let ([foo (Z (λ (foo)
                (λ (lst)
                  (… (foo …) …)))))])
  (foo '(1 2 3)))
```

C. It's not possible to write recursive functions without `define` or `letrec` in Scheme